

## Lesson 3A-2:Key Terms Common to Requests for Applications (RFAs)

NO	TERM	DEFINITION	
1	RFA	REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS	
2	RFP	REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS	
3	NOFO	NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY	
4	NOFA	NOTICE OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY	
5	RFQ	REQUEST FOR QUOTES	
6	FOA	FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT(TERM USED BY DEPT. OF ENERGY AND SOMETIMES OTHERS)	
7	SOLICITATION	MAY BE USED INTERCHANGEABLY WITH ABOVE TERMS	
8	PROGRAM OFFICER	USUALLY, THE PERSON TASKED – OFTEN AS PART OF A BROADER TEAM – WITH MANAGING A GIVEN FUNDING OPPORTUNITY. FOR BOTH FOUNDATIONS AND GOVERNMENT GRANTS, THE PROGRAM OFFICER IS USUALLY THE CONTACT PERSON FOR SUBSTANTIVE QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY. FOR FOUNDATIONS, PROGRAM OFFICERS USUALLY MANAGE A SPECIFIC PORTFOLIO OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES BASED ON GEOGRAPHIC REGION AND/OR ISSUE AREA	
12	GRANTS.GOV	MAIN PORTAL FOR RESEARCHING AND SUBMITTING GOVERNMENT GRANTS	
13	WORKSPACE	PLATFORM IN GRANTS.GOV FOR UPLOADING DOCUMENTS, COMPLETING FORMS,AND SUBMITTING APPLICATIONS	
14	DEMOGRAPHIC(S)	(N) THE TARGET POPULATION OR THE SET OF DATA POINTS THAT DESCRIBES A SPECIFIC POPULATION	
15	Award Ceiling	(MAXIMUM AVAILABLE GRANT AWARD	
16	BUDGET NARRATIVE	WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF THE BUDGET LINE ITEMS (I.E., WHAT'S BEING SPENT ON WHAT)	
17	BUDGET JUSTIFICATION	ANOTHER NAME FOR BUDGET NARRATIVE	

This reference document defines key terms often used in competitive grant proposal processes.

NO	TERM	DEFINITION	
18	SF-424	FORM TO COMPLETE IN GRANTS.GOV; NEARLY ALWAYS REQUIRED FOR FEDERAL GRANTS	
19	DIRECT COSTS	COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBSTANTIVE PROGRAMMING (E.G., THE COSTS OF BUYING SCHOOL SUPPLIES FOR A BACK-TO-SCHOOL PROGRAM)	
20	INDIRECT COSTS	Costs not directly associated with the proposed programming or project. Sometimes referred to as overhead, though indirect costs can include more than just overhead. Examples include utilities, rent, etc. Oftentimes funding opportunities allow for a flat indirect cost rate of 10%.	
21	501C3 /501C4 Activities/ Expenditures	SOIC3 AND SOIC4 ARE IRS TAX DESIGNATIONS THAT ARE APPLIED TO MANY NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS; EACH DESIGNATION COMES WITH A DIFFERENT SET OF TAX RULES AND EXEMPTIONS. FOR THE MOST PART, SOIC3 NONPROFITS ARE CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS TO WHICH DONATIONS ARE TAX-DEDUCTIBLE; DONATIONS TO SOIC4 NONPROFITS ARE NOT USUALLY TAX-DEDUCTIBLE. WHEN PEOPLE SPEAK OF SOIC3 VS. SOIC4 ACTIVITIES, THEY ARE USUALLY REFERRING TO PUBLIC EDUCATION OR CHARITABLE PROGRAMMING THAT DOES NOT INVOLVE LOBBYING, VS. NOT- FOR-PROFIT ACTIVITY THAT IS LOBBYING OR LOBBYING-RELATED. NONPROFITS DESIGNATED AS SOIC3S CAN ENGAGE IN A LIMITED AMOUNT OF LOBBYING, BUT WHERE THE LINE IS DRAWN (HOW MUCH IS TOO MUCH) AND WHAT CONSTITUTES LOBBYING CAN BE COMPLICATED. MANY FUNDERS, BOTH INDIVIDUAL AND FEDERAL/PRIVATE, PREFER TO LIMIT THEIR AWARDS TO SOIC3 ORGANIZATIONS AND SOMETIMES ALSO TO PURELY SOIC3 ACTIVITIES. PLEASE SEE <u>THIS PAGE ON INSTRUMENTL</u> FOR A LONGER OVERVIEW. PLEASE SEE ALSO THE SPECIFIC ELIGIBILITY RULES FOR A GIVEN FUNDING OPPORTUNITY.	

Please use the following rows to add any terms you may wish to remember for the future:

22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	